

LEADERSHIP ETHICS AND INSTITUTIONAL REFORM: THE NEHEMIAH PARADIGM AND ITS RELEVANCE TO NIGERIAN GOVERNANCE

By

Bala Lafa Turgong

balaturgong@gmail.com

School of Biblical Studies, Jos, Nigeria

&

Jacob T. Hundu (ThD)

Church of Christ, K/Vom, Plateau State, Nigeria

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3900-8424>

jahundu@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper examines leadership ethics and institutional reform through the lens of the Nehemiah paradigm, with particular attention to its relevance for contemporary Nigerian governance. The aim of the paper is to explore how Nehemiah's model of faith-based, ethical leadership can address Nigeria's persistent challenges of corruption, institutional decay, and poor governance outcomes since the advent of the Fourth Republic. Methodologically, the study adopts a qualitative and interdisciplinary approach, combining biblical-theological analysis of the Book of Nehemiah with critical engagement of secondary literature on ethical leadership, governance, and Nigerian political realities. The analysis identifies key ethical principles in Nehemiah's leadership, including prayerful vision, strategic planning, integrity, accountability, justice, and communal responsibility. The findings reveal that Nigeria's governance crisis is less a failure of formal democratic structures and more a deficit of ethical leadership and weakened institutions. Nehemiah's leadership paradigm, when read alongside servant leadership and allied ethical models, offers a coherent framework for rebuilding trust, strengthening institutions, and prioritising the common good. The study recommends the deliberate integration of ethical leadership values into governance practices through leadership training, institutional accountability mechanisms, civic engagement, and the active role of faith-based and civil society organisations in moral reorientation. In conclusion, the paper argues that the Nehemiah paradigm provides a historically grounded and theologically informed blueprint for institutional reform in Nigeria. By embracing integrity-driven and service-oriented leadership, Nigeria can rebuild its institutional "walls" and move toward sustainable governance, social justice, and national renewal.

Keywords: Leadership ethics, Nehemiah paradigm, institutional reform, Nigerian governance, servant leadership

struggled with endemic corruption, institutional decay, weak public service, and insecurity.

Introduction

The Book of Nehemiah presents a model of godly leadership during crisis, as Nehemiah prays, plans, and mobilises the Jewish exiles to rebuild Jerusalem's walls (Komolafe 2024; Tefo and Geyser-Fouché 2025). In this biblical narrative, leadership is rooted in faith, integrity, and service – what scholars call the *Nehemiah paradigm*. Post-1999, Nigeria's Fourth Republic has

Despite regular elections, many elites violate democratic norms and the rule of law (Okoi and Iwara 2021). Nigeria often ranks among the world's most corrupt states, with many citizens in poverty and distrustful of institutions (Hoffmann and Hilton 2025; Okoi and Iwara 2021). These systemic failures call for ethical renewal. This

paper examines Nehemiah's leadership ethics (biblical paradigm) and other ethical models (such as servant leadership), then applies their principles to Nigeria's governance challenges from a theological perspective. It argues that integrating Nehemiah's values of prayerful vision, integrity, justice, and communal responsibility can inform institutional reform and national renewal in Nigeria.

The Nehemiah Paradigm: A Biblical Analysis

In the mid-5th century BCE, Judah (Yehud) was a Persian province still recovering from Babylonian exile. The temple and city walls had been destroyed, leaving Jerusalem "broken down" and its inhabitants in disgrace (Neh 1:3) (Umeanolue 2017). The returning remnant faced poverty, heavy tribute, and weak leadership. Nehemiah – a Jew and cupbearer to Artaxerxes I – learned of these conditions and secured royal permission to rebuild Jerusalem's wall (Neh 2:5–8) (Tefo and Geyser-Fouché 2025). This mission addressed the core governance challenge of reuniting a scattered community under foreign rule. As Tefo and Geyser-Fouché note, Nehemiah wrote a first-person memoir of this crisis, emphasizing the urgent need to organize the people for civic restoration (Tefo and Geyser-Fouché 2025).

Nehemiah's personal piety and discipline were evident from the start: he fasted and prayed before acting on the bad news from Jerusalem (Neh 1:4). As governor, he demonstrated scrupulous integrity. For example, he "never demanded the food allotted to the governor" but instead fed the needy at his table (Neh 5:17–18). He "rescued the poor from oppression" and refused his own allowance, modeling sacrificial service. Nehemiah also upheld covenant law and protected commoners: he guarded his workers (Neh 4:10) and stopped rivals from cheating his people (Neh 5:8–9) (Patton 2017). Equally important were his vision and courage. Three days after arriving in Jerusalem, he proclaimed, "Jerusalem lies in ruins...Come, let us rebuild" (Neh 2:17), energizing the people to action (Patton 2017). He then organised armed work crews and exhorted the crowd to trust God (Neh 4:14), showing bold commitment to the

public good. Despite opposition (e.g. from Sanballat and Tobiah), Nehemiah kept the community focused on the mission. His unwavering vision and perseverance brought the wall to completion in 52 days (Neh 6:15).

Nehemiah implemented wide-ranging administrative and ethical reforms to stabilize post-exilic Judah. He restructured leadership for efficiency by delegating authority and assigning clear responsibilities to gatekeepers, officials, Levites, and doorkeepers (Neh 7:1–3; 12) (Tefo & Geyser-Fouché, 2025). He further strengthened governance through a census and regulated movement via travel permits (Neh 7), while repopulating Jerusalem by lot to ensure shared civic responsibility (Neh 11). Nehemiah also confronted systemic corruption when he discovered that elites were exploiting the poor through usury. He convened a public inquiry, rebuked offenders impartially (Neh 5:7), and demanded restitution and debt cancellation (Neh 5:11–13). By refusing personal financial privileges and declining bribes, Nehemiah modeled transparent stewardship and moral integrity in public office (Patton, 2017).

Beyond structural reforms, Nehemiah practiced inclusive and law-centered leadership. He mobilized all social groups—priests, Levites, merchants, and common laborers—in the rebuilding and reform process (Neh 3; 11:16–18), fostering collective ownership and social cohesion. As Umeanolue (2017) observes, participation extended from the high priest to ordinary citizens. Following the completion of the wall, Nehemiah renewed the covenant (Neh 9–10) and enforced Torah norms to restore social order. He safeguarded Sabbath observance by closing the city gates and posting guards (Neh 13:15–22), removed Tobiah from the temple precincts (Neh 13:4–9), and enforced marital reforms to preserve covenantal identity (Neh 13:23–27). Together, these measures reflect a leadership paradigm that integrates biblical ethics with pragmatic governance, demonstrating how godly leadership can revitalise institutions for the public good (Umeanolue, 2017; Patton, 2017).

Other Ethical Leadership Models

In addition to Nehemiah, various ethical frameworks can inform leadership. Servant leadership, a concept often traced to Jesus Christ, is key in Christian ethics. By this model, a leader *serves first*, putting others' needs above self-interest. Christ's example (Mark 10:42–45; John 13:12–17) is explicitly cited as the blueprint: leaders must be humble, compassionate, and willing to sacrifice for the common good (Bakari et al. 2025). This stands in contrast to toxic models (for example, Machiavelli's *The Prince*), since servant leadership sees power as a *tool for service rather than exploitation*. In Nigeria, many analysts have lamented “self-interest, accumulation of wealth, and abuse of office” among officials; servant leadership is proposed to counteract that by instilling humility, accountability, and responsiveness to citizens. Similarly, the biblical *shepherd* model – implicitly reflected in Nehemiah's care and in Jesus' “Good Shepherd” metaphor – calls leaders to tend their people selflessly.

Outside Christianity, comparable ethics exist. For example, Confucian leadership emphasises the *junzi* (noble person) virtues of benevolence (*ren*), righteousness (*yi*), and filial piety: leaders set moral examples to harmonise society (Han 2013). African ethics like Ubuntu (“I am because we are”) stress communal solidarity, respect, and mutual care. An Ubuntu-oriented leader would govern by empathy and shared identity, not by nepotism or cruelty (Mwipikeni 2018). Modern theories such as transformational leadership (Bass and Riggio 2006) similarly stress that leaders should inspire followers with a compelling vision and ethical motivation – much as Nehemiah inspired the Jews with a vision of restored Jerusalem. Social contract theorists (e.g. John Locke) argue that rulers are entrusted by the people to protect rights; Nigeria's situation, where citizens feel betrayed by leaders, invites a renewed “contract” founded on accountability (Sasan 2021). Prophetic justice (Amos and Jeremiah in the Bible) also offers a model that leaders must speak out against oppression and economic injustice, reflecting Nehemiah's own confrontation of corrupt officials (Komolafe 2024).

In a nutshell, ethical models converge on virtues like integrity, justice, service, and community. For instance, Bakari *et al.* summarise: “Christian values call leaders to prioritise justice over favouritism, transparency over deception, and service over dominance”. Correspondingly, servant leadership stresses *integrity and zero-tolerance of corruption* as foundational (Oguns 2025). These models complement the Nehemiah paradigm. By contrast, an amoral realpolitik approach has proved disastrous in Nigeria; what is needed is leadership that honours moral ends and means alike.

Post-1999 Nigeria: Governance Challenges

Since the transition to civilian rule in 1999, Nigeria has witnessed recurring governance failures. Despite regular elections, the democratic process has been “dominated by political elites who consistently violate fundamental principles” of democracy, such as free elections, rule of law, and human rights (Okoi and Iwara 2021). Transparency International and Chatham House report that Nigeria “suffers from endemic corruption,” embedded in every layer of government, which continues “to undermine its democracy and economic growth” (Hoffmann and Hilton 2025). In recent years Nigeria has ranked among the 40 most corrupt nations by the Corruption Perceptions Index. As Hoffmann and Hilton (2025) note, “corruption permeates politics, public administration, law enforcement and the judiciary,” often blocking basic services and development. Experts estimate that since 1999 Nigeria has lost hundreds of millions of dollars to overpriced contracts and bribes facilitated by colluding officials. This plunder has “created a dilemmatic situation... where virtually all sectors are not functioning properly” (Ojile et al. 2021). Several interlocking problems illustrate this crisis:

Corruption and Impunity: Nigeria's political culture tolerates bribery, vote-buying, and embezzlement. Political elites routinely exploit poverty and illiteracy by distributing “rice, seasoning, and money” packaged with party logos to buy votes (“stomach infrastructure” politics) (Okoi and Iwara 2021). Over half of Nigerians

live in poverty, even though Nigeria has Africa's largest GDP. Despite most citizens disapproving of corruption, many feel it is the only way to survive a dysfunctional system. This culture of impunity, where the powerful evade accountability, erodes the rule of law and civic trust. As Chatham House reports, corruption "diverts public resources away from vital sectors such as education, healthcare and infrastructure, fueling poverty and inequality" (Hoffmann and Hilton 2025).

Institutional Decay: Nigeria's institutions (courts, civil service, anti-corruption agencies, police, electoral commission, etc.) are weak and often politicized. The Centre for Public Sector Governance notes that elections now happen regularly, but "governance outcomes continue to fall short of public expectations" because of "persistent poverty, insecurity, institutional decay, and public sector inefficiency". Judges and commissions face interference and delayed cases. Agencies like the EFCC are seen as selective. Nepotism and cronyism in appointments diminish meritocracy. The Mo Ibrahim Index placed Nigeria 31st of 54 in overall governance, with particularly low scores in "Rule of Law & Justice" and "Accountability & Transparency". This reflects a broader governance deficit: as CPSG explains, the "real issue is not the absence of elections, but the erosion of the institutions meant to deliver democratic dividends". In effect, Nigeria has a democratic facade without functional rules: what Fukuyama calls *political decay* — institutions fail to check power or deliver services (Centre for Public Sector Governance 2025).

Security and Social Crisis: Nigeria faces chronic insecurity. Insurgent groups (e.g. Boko Haram in the northeast), Islamist militant affiliates, and kidnappers have inflicted terror. Armed bandits in the northwest now carry out raids, causing mass displacement. Georgetown analysts describe a "spiral of violence" in which bandits' attacks "sharpen regional polarisation," and note that many public servants are unaware of these crises, so laws fail to address citizens' needs (Okoi and Iwara 2021). The #EndSARS protests of 2020 (against police brutality) were highlighted as a "manifestation of bad

governance". Such failures to protect life and enforce justice undermine state legitimacy and fuel unrest. Protests, militancy, and even insurgencies are byproducts of a leadership that cannot ensure basic security or listen to citizens (Okoi and Iwara 2021).

Public Sector Morale and Citizen Disenchantment: Public servants often lack strong ethical orientation. Surveys show citizens believe "people around here are mostly out for themselves" (Hoffmann and Hilton 2025). Many observers note that Nigerian officials act like recalcitrant parents who "do not care about the wellbeing of their children" (Ojile et al. 2021). Trust in governance is low as widespread cynicism has taken hold, as elites manipulate tribal, religious, and regional identities for power. In short, Nigeria's democracy has underperformed: its people have elections, but lack good governance and ethical leadership that deliver the common good (Okoi and Iwara 2021).

Theological Implications

From a theological viewpoint, Nigeria's plight cries out for *godly governance*. As Bakari *et al.* (2025) argue, biblical texts demand righteousness and justice as the foundation of any throne. The prophetic call of Micah 6:8 — justice, kindness, humility — is especially apt: it "resonates deeply in the Nigerian context, where injustice, corruption, and impunity have undermined good governance and national unity". New Testament ethics reinforce that leadership without integrity leads to injustice and decay. Scripture teaches that "the measure of good governance lies in how the weak and marginalized are treated". In this light, Nigerian leaders are called to servant leadership (Mark 10:45): to "refuse to wield power for self-gain" and instead serve society's needs. Accountability to God and people is a "biblical imperative" as Christian teaching (e.g. Romans 13) insists on transparent systems that check corruption. Moreover, the supreme commandment to "love one's neighbour" (Matt. 22:37–40) implies policies for equity, peace, and the welfare of all — not just elites. By internalising these values, Nigerian governance can be transformed from the inside.

Applying the Nehemiah Paradigm to Nigeria

The Nehemiah narrative yields several lessons for Nigeria's leadership and institutional reform:

Visionary Planning with Faith: Like Nehemiah's prayerful vision to rebuild Jerusalem's walls, Nigerian leaders must first seek a *big picture* under God. This means setting bold national goals (security, development, unity) and trusting God's guidance. Initiatives like *redeveloping infrastructure* (analogous to rebuilding the wall) or *constitution reform* must be undertaken with prayer, ethical reflection, and perseverance (Tefo and Geyser-Fouché 2025; Dube et al. 2024). It also means leaders should humble themselves (Micah 6:8) and be willing to learn from Scripture: for instance, the Judeo-Christian emphasis on covenant and law could inspire a stronger commitment to the rule of law and social justice in Nigeria.

Integrity and Accountability: Nehemiah's refusal to exploit his office must become Nigeria's standard. Leaders should adopt zero-tolerance of corruption as a core principle. Mechanisms for accountability must be strengthened: transparent budgets, independent anti-corruption agencies, and an empowered judiciary (like a "wall" of integrity around institutions). Embedding Christian ethics means appointing *ethics officers* and requiring public oaths to serve truthfully. As Bakari et al (2025) note, without integrity, "leadership fosters mistrust, injustice, and decay of public institutions"; thus, integrity is non-negotiable. Nigeria could mirror Nehemiah by establishing clear accounts and checks on all public funds (just as Nehemiah held officials to account for rebuilding resources).

Institutional "Walls" and Reforms: Nehemiah built walls to protect the city. Metaphorically, Nigeria needs to rebuild its institutional walls. This involves strengthening key bodies (INEC, police, EFCC, courts) by legal and constitutional reforms for their independence (Centre for Public Sector Governance 2025). For example, ensuring the electoral commission (INEC) cannot be easily pressured or defunded, so elections are free and

fair. Reforming the civil service along meritocratic lines (similar to appointing workers by skill, not nepotism) will improve capacity. Digitalization and transparency technology can close gaps. Just as Nehemiah allocated sections of wall to committed families, Nigeria can delegate authority to competent officials and community groups, decentralizing development while holding them accountable.

Serving the People – Justice and Social Equity: Nehemiah not only built a wall but also reformatted society as he confronted economic injustice (Hezekiah's account notes he rebuked exploitation) (Komolafe 2024). Likewise, Nigeria's leaders must champion the poor. Policy reforms should focus on equitable resource distribution: improve healthcare, education, and infrastructure in neglected regions to counter tribal/religious grievances. Christian ethics (Isaiah 1:17, James 1:27) would direct tax revenues and development projects toward the marginalised. The new vision of citizenship (Neh 2:19) implies that all Nigerians, irrespective of ethnicity, must share in nation-building, reflecting unity in diversity.

Community Mobilisation and Participation: Nehemiah personally worked alongside the people, inspiring them. Nigerian leaders must also be present and accessible: town-hall style engagements, listening tours, and true stakeholder consultations. Civic education is critical – teaching citizens about their rights and the meaning of good leadership. As Oguns (2025) recommends, Christians and other citizens must be encouraged into political participation not just for power, but as agents of moral renewal. The Church and civil society, like Nehemiah rallying exiles, can help organise communities for collective action (e.g. voter education, non-corruption pledges). Indeed, Nigeria's churches should become prophetic voices: "Silence in the face of corruption and bad governance is complicity; the Church must stand as the conscience of the nation" (Bakari et al. 2025).

Prayer and Ethical Culture: A theological approach means institutionalising humility and prayer in leadership development. Leaders and public servants can be trained with an ethos of

stewardship – that authority is “a divine trust” (Bakari et al. 2025). Government and university curricula can include courses on ethics and public theology. Public prayers, interfaith services for peace, and emphasising values like love, honesty, and service (explicitly taught in Proverbs, the Gospels, etc.) will slowly reshape norms. Nigerian history includes strong faith traditions; leveraging these (without imposing religion) can help shift attitudes away from cynicism. Bakari et al. (2025) stress embedding *Christian values in leadership training* as a strategy. Such moral reorientation undergirds formal reforms.

Security and Compassion: Nehemiah’s priority was security: a protected city allowed stability (Komolafe 2024). By analogy, Nigerian leaders must ensure safety for citizens so governance can function. Defeating insurgents, reforming the police (learned through the *police brutality* protests), and securing communities are urgent. But security efforts must be accompanied by addressing root causes (poverty, injustice, and education). Nehemiah’s combination of physical rebuilding and spiritual reform suggests that Nigeria address both. For example, military actions against insurgents should be coupled with community development programs. Ensuring law and order must go hand in hand with reconciliation and dialogue in volatile areas (reflecting “love for neighbour” in policy).

Unity in Diversity: Finally, Nehemiah united Jews of varied backgrounds (tribal intermix) with one purpose. Nigeria’s people are highly diverse; a Nehemiah-like leader works to transcend ethnic and religious divisions. Komolafe et al. conclude that “all efforts to unite as one nation in respect of ethnic, language and religious background must be paramount” (Komolafe 2024). In practice, this means promoting a national identity above sectarianism, for example, inclusive governance appointments, national holidays, and public messages celebrating pluralism. A Nehemiah-inspired patriotism would encourage Nigerians abroad to invest in and return to help rebuild their country.

Integrating Other Ethical Models

The Nehemiah paradigm is strengthened by other models. For instance, Ubuntu-inspired leadership would foster solidarity: leaders would view policy decisions as affecting the entire communal “we” rather than factions. Combining Ubuntu and servant leadership, Nigeria can build a culture where each citizen’s well-being matters to the leader. Likewise, notions of transformational leadership support Nehemiah’s example: a leader with a compelling vision (restored Nigeria) can motivate radical change, as Nehemiah’s vision galvanised Jerusalem’s remnant. These models all highlight moral character: honesty, responsibility, and empathy. As Bakari et al. (2025) stress, values like justice, mercy, forgiveness, and integrity are not just personal virtues but social imperatives. They recommend servant leadership explicitly, noting Christ’s paradigm for ethics and the church’s role in shaping leaders. Finally, secular theories like ethical leadership converge with theology: leaders must align their actions with professed values (what Christian writers call “congruence”) and create organisational cultures of honesty and excellence.

Theological Framework and Civil Society

Through a theological lens, Nigeria’s reform becomes *ministry*. Scripture repeatedly links justice to blessing and corruption to curse. Nigerian pastors and faith leaders can frame civic responsibility as a religious duty. The prophetic tradition (like Amos condemning social injustice) empowers the church to critique the state. Christian education can stress that governance is “a form of stewardship accountable to God and the people” (Komolafe 2024). The church should engage policy debate publicly, as urged by Catholic Cardinal Onaiyekan: it “must be more than a sanctuary... it must speak truth to power” (Komolafe 2024). In addition, faith-based NGOs can train ethical leaders (as recommended in the literature). Engaging mosques, traditional faiths, and universities similarly can weave moral discourse into national life. Ultimately, by embracing the Nehemiah paradigm and allied ethical models, Nigeria can chart a path to

institutional reform grounded in virtue and communal purpose.

Conclusion

Nehemiah's story offers more than an ancient lesson; it is a paradigm of restorative leadership. His combination of spiritual integrity, strategic action, and social justice provides a blueprint for Nigeria's renewal. The country's democracy, though enduring in form since 1999, still suffers "a crisis of governance" evident in corruption, broken institutions, and insecurity. As this paper has argued, applying Nehemiah's principles – alongside servant leadership, prophetic justice, and other ethical frameworks – addresses those failures. In practice, this means Nigerian leaders must rebuild the walls of trust through accountability, repair the fabric of society through justice and unity, and lead "humble[ly]" by ethical example. Embedding Christian ethical values like honesty, compassion, and love for neighbor into governance is not about imposing one religion, but about realizing universal virtues that all citizens can embrace. The "Nehemiah paradigm" calls for leaders who put country before self, who seek God's guidance, and who serve the vulnerable. If Nigeria's political class and populace adopt such a model – reconstructing institutions as Nehemiah rebuilt Jerusalem – the nation may yet experience the "new day" of hope and unity that its Scripture forerunners envisioned.

Bibliography

- Bakari, Lami Ibrahim, Tolutope Oluwawole, Victor Rufus Adepoju, and Nanlir Kartim Napbut. 2025. "Promoting Godly Governance in Nigeria through Christian Values: A Theological and Ethical Reflection." *International Journal of Religious and Cultural Practice* 10 (5): 1–8.
- Bass, Bernard M., and Ronald E. Riggio. 2006. *Transformational Leadership*. 2nd ed. Psychology Press. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410617095>.
- Centre for Public Sector Governance. 2025. "Strengthening Institutions: The Missing Link in Nigeria's Democracy." CPSG, June 18. <https://publicsecgov.org/strengthening-institutions-the-missing-link-in-nigerias-democracy/>.
- Dube, Musa W., Andrew M. Mbuvi, and Dora R. Mbuwayesango, eds. 2024. *Postcolonial Perspectives in African Biblical Interpretations*. SBL Press.
- Han, Pi-Chi. 2013. "Confucian Leadership and the Rising Chinese Economy: Implications for Developing Global Leadership." *The Chinese Economy* 46 (2): 107–27. <https://doi.org/10.2753/CES1097-1475460205>.
- Hoffmann, Leena Koni, and Tommy Hilton. 2025. "Corruption in Nigeria." Chatham House – International Affairs Think Tank, March 18. <https://www.chathamhouse.org/2025/08/corruption-nigeria>.
- Komolafe, Hezekiah Deji. 2024. "The Dynamic Leadership Role of Nehemiah in Ancient Israel and Its Implication for Political Leaders in Nigeria." *Lasu Journal of Religions & Peace Studies* 6 (2): 64–79. <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.14991536>.
- Mwipikeni. 2018. "Ubuntu and the Modern Society." *South African Journal of Philosophy= Suid-Afrikaanse Tydskrif Vir Wysbegeerte* 37 (3): 322–34.
- Oguns, A. 2025. *Tackling Nigeria's Faulty Leadership Structure/Culture Via Servant Leadership Approach*. February 24. <https://servantleaderglobalinitiative.com/tackling-nigerias-faulty-leadership-structure-culture-via-servant-leadership-approach/>.
- Ojile, Barnabas Audu, Juliana Onogu, and Lawrence Achor Awodi. 2021. "Ethics and Morality in Leadership and Democratic Governance in Nigeria: A

Theological Perspective.” *Bassey Andah Journal* 4: 83–98.

- Okoi, Obasesam, and MaryAnne Iwara. 2021. “The Failure of Governance in Nigeria: An Epistocratic Challenge.” *Georgetown Journal of International Affairs*, April 12. <https://gjia.georgetown.edu/2021/04/12/the-failure-of-governance-in-nigeria-an-epistocratic-challenge/>.
- Patton, Cheryl. 2017. “What Made Nehemiah an Effective Leader?” *The Journal of Applied Christian Leadership*, March 1. <https://jacl.andrews.edu/what-made-nehemiah-an-effective-leader/>.
- Sasan, John Michael V. 2021. “The Social Contract Theories of Thomas Hobbes and John Locke: Comparative Analysis.” *Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities* 9 (1): 34–45.
- Tefo, Peter K., and Ananda B. Geyser-Fouché. 2025. “Nehemiah as a Leader.” *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies* 81 (1): 6. Historical critical studies; Leadership. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v81i1.10293>.
- Umeanolue, Ikenna L. 2017. “Nehemiah’s Leadership Strategies in Rebuilding Jerusalem: Lessons for Sustainable Development in Nigeria.” *IGWEBUIKE: An African Journal of Arts and Humanities* 3 (3): 17–28.